



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,601	12/31/2003	Timothy L. Hoopman	03001	8504
44977 7	590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
BERGGREN LAW OFFICES, LLC			LAYNO, BENJAMIN	
	REET NORTH		ADT IDUT	
OAKDALE, MN 55128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	CO
	10/749,601	HOOPMAN, TIMOTHY L.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on		
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matte ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18 and 19</u> 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is required if the drawing(about the backed) by the Examiner. Note the attached	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internatio	documents have been received. documents have been received in Ar of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>030705</u>. 	PTO-948) Paper No(s) PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to the method of playing a card game, classified in class 273, subclass 292.
- II. Claims 1-17, drawn to a pack of playing cards, classified in class 273, subclass 304.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the pack of playing cards can be used to play Blackjack or Poker.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with William Bergman on 03/04/05 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of the pack of playing cards, claims 1-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18 and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,601 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jannersten.

The patent to Jannersten discloses a pack of playing cards having all the features recited in claims 1-6, 8-10 and 12-17, see Fig. 1 and 2. The first indicia (numerical value) and second indicia (suit) are clearly placed at the primary corners, secondary corners, top edge, bottom edge, left edge and right edge as recited in the claims. Note, Fig. 3 illustrates that the first indicia and second indicia are judiciously placed to lessen both precision of vertical columnar alignment and columnar length.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jannersten as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Friedman 647'.

The design patent to Friedman 647' cited by the Applicant in an IDS, teaches that it is known in the playing card art to enlarge the indicia on the edges of the playing

cards. Note, the title of Friedman 647' is "....High Visibility Playing Cards". In view of such teaching it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to enlarge the first indicia and second indicia on the edges of Jannersten's playing cards in order to make the indicia more visible to players with impaired vision.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Hofman, Nielsen, Roberts and Stauff (cited by the Applicant in the IDS) all disclose first indicia (numerical value) and second indicia (suit) on the primary corners, secondary corners, top edge, bottom edge, left edge and right edge of the cards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571)272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,601

Art Unit: 3711

Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

Page 5

bhl